

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,922	MANDERSON, EASTON L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James L. Swiger	3733	

All Participants:

(1) James L. Swiger.

(2) Mr. Alfred Stadnicki.

Status of Application: pending

(3) Eduardo C. Robert.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2005

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

EDUARDO C. ROBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to suggest an amendment to the specification, drawings, and claims in order to place the case in condition for allowance (see "Examiner's Amendment," attached hereto) . The applicant's representative agreed with all of the changes. .